INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF SOCIAL SCIENCE, EDUCATIONAL, ECONOMICS, AGRICULTURE RESEARCH AND TECHNOLOGY (IJSET) | ISSN (E): 2827-766X
Website: https://ijset.org/ | Volume 5 No. 9 (2026)

ANALYSIS OF INVESTMENT OPPORTUNITIES AND CHALLENGES IN INDONESIA'S MINING SECTOR

Irada Sinta [1], Rico Nur Ilham [2], Frengki Putra Ramansyah [3], Azhar [4], Razif [5]
[1,2,4,5] Faculty of Economics and Business, Universitas Malikussaleh, Indonesia
[3] Faculty of Economics and Business, Universitas Battuta, Indonesia
Corresponding Author: riconurilham@unimal.ac.id

Received: 07/06/2026 | **Revised:** 27/06/2026 | **Accepted:** 19/07/2026 | **Published:** 19/08/2026

Abstract

This study analyzes the investment opportunities and challenges in Indonesia's mining sector amid volatile global commodity prices and shifting macroeconomic conditions in 2025-2026. Despite its strategic role as a source of foreign exchange, state revenue, and downstream mineral industry development, the mining sector's contribution to Indonesia's Gross Domestic Product declined from over twelve percent in 2022 to below nine percent in 2025, becoming the only major economic sector to contract even as national economic growth remained solid. Using a descriptive qualitative method based on secondary data from government publications, stock exchange authorities, and financial media, this study examines the sector's macroeconomic environment, the financial performance of listed mining companies, and the interaction between commodity cycles and monetary policy. The results show divergent performance across subsectors, with gold and nickel producers recording strong profit growth while coal producers faced declining revenue amid weaker global coal prices; despite short-term market corrections, foreign investors continued net buying of selected mining stocks, reflecting sustained long-term confidence. The analysis identifies mineral downstreaming, the global energy transition, digitalization, and government policy support as the main investment opportunities, while global economic uncertainty, commodity price fluctuation, regulatory change, and environmental and governance risks remain the principal challenges. The study concludes that Indonesia's mining sector offers a high-risk, high-return investment profile best suited to investors with a medium- to long-term horizon, and recommends portfolio diversification across commodity subsectors, close monitoring of macroeconomic indicators and regulatory developments, and a gradual investment strategy to manage short-term price volatility.

Keywords: mining sector; investment opportunities; investment risk; downstream minerals; commodity price; Indonesian Stock Exchange

INTRODUCTION

The Indonesian capital market offers a diverse selection of investment sectors, from banking and consumer goods to natural resources, each with its own distinct risk characteristics and potential returns. One sector with a strategic role and complex dynamics is the mining sector, given its contribution as a source of foreign exchange, a provider of industrial raw materials, and a leading sector on the Indonesia Stock Exchange (IDX). Furthermore, this sector also faces challenges in the form of fluctuating global commodity prices, regulatory changes, and the demands of a green economy transition. This article analyzes investment opportunities and challenges in the Indonesian mining sector, taking into account domestic macroeconomic conditions, the historical performance of mining issuers, and global geopolitical dynamics from 2022 to the first quarter of 2026. This analysis is expected to provide investors with valuable insights into determining their portfolio allocation strategies for the natural resources sector in Indonesia.

LITERATURE REVIEW

This study draws on modern portfolio theory, which emphasizes the importance of diversification to optimize the relationship between risk and return, particularly for highly volatile assets such as commodity-based stocks. The mining sector is known to exhibit commodity supercycle characteristics, characterized by fluctuating commodity prices following global supply and demand cycles. Therefore, mining stock returns tend to be more volatile than

those in the consumer or banking sectors. Furthermore, this study employs a macroeconomic analysis approach that links variables such as economic growth, inflation, benchmark interest rates, and exchange rates to the performance of export-oriented issuers. The concept of mineral downstreaming and Environmental, Social, and Governance (ESG) principles also serve as important foundations, as they increasingly influence investors' assessments of the sustainability and long-term competitiveness of mining issuers.

Investment Characteristics in the Mining Industry

Investment in the mining industry has distinct characteristics from sectors more oriented toward domestic consumption. Mining projects require significant initial capital expenditures, long exploration and construction periods, and face uncertainties regarding reserves, ore quality, stripping costs, energy requirements, and commodity prices. These characteristics make it difficult to base investment decisions solely on single-year sales or profit growth. Investors need to assess the mine's lifespan, reserve quality, cost structure, ability to maintain production, maintenance capital expenditure requirements, and the sensitivity of cash flows to changes in commodity prices and exchange rates.

The cyclical nature of this sector is also a key characteristic. When global demand strengthens and supply is relatively limited, commodity prices can rise sharply, expanding issuers' margins and cash flows. Conversely, excessive capacity expansion, an economic slowdown, or technological change can trigger excess supply and depress prices. Therefore, investors need to distinguish between earnings increases stemming from operational efficiencies and increases primarily driven by the commodity cycle. This distinction is important because more sustainable earnings quality is typically supported by competitive production costs, adequate reserves, a sound capital structure, and a product diversification strategy.

Risk, Return, and Portfolio Diversification

Modern portfolio theory explains that investment decisions should assess assets based on their contribution to portfolio risk and return, rather than solely on the potential return of each stock individually. Markowitz (1952) demonstrated that diversification can reduce portfolio risk when assets do not move perfectly in the same direction. This concept is relevant to mining stocks because the movements of the coal, gold, nickel, copper, and bauxite subsectors are influenced by different demand factors. Gold, for example, tends to gain ground when uncertainty increases, while industrial metals are more sensitive to global manufacturing and investment cycles.

In practice, a stock's systematic risk can be analyzed through sensitivity to market and macroeconomic conditions, as outlined in the Capital Asset Pricing Model (Sharpe, 1964). However, for the mining sector, market beta analysis needs to be complemented by commodity-specific risk, regulatory risk, energy costs, operational risk, and financing risk. Therefore, diversification across commodity subsectors can be a more rational strategy than concentrating a portfolio on a single theme, especially when investors face uncertainty about commodity price direction.

Mineral Downstreaming, Energy Transition, and ESG

The downstreaming policy shifts the source of value creation in the mining sector from mere extraction to processing and supply chain integration. The development of refining and processing facilities can increase domestic added value, expand the need for infrastructure investment, and open opportunities for issuers capable of integrating mining activities with smelters or advanced industries. Furthermore, downstreaming increases the need for capital, technology, energy supply, raw material security, and the ability to manage project risks. Therefore, the benefits of downstreaming for investors should be assessed based on the project's ability to generate returns exceeding the cost of capital, not simply on increased production capacity.

The transition to a low-carbon economy also creates a two-way flow. Demand for nickel and copper is supported by electrification, power grids, energy storage, and electric vehicles. At the same time, carbon-based commodities face pressures from policy, financing, and shifting investor preferences. This makes adaptability to technological change a critical factor in long-term valuation. The risk of technological substitution, such as the reduced use of nickel-based battery chemistries, suggests that demand growth assumptions should not be taken for granted.

Environmental, Social, and Governance (ESG) aspects are increasingly important because mining activities have a direct impact on the environment, land use, occupational safety, and surrounding communities. The literature shows that managing material ESG factors can be associated with robust financial performance and improved access to capital (Friede, Busch, & Bassen, 2015). For investors, ESG assessments should be directed at issues material to the mining business, such as tailings management, reclamation, emissions, safety, community relations, licensing

transparency, and governance quality. Thus, ESG is not simply a reputational attribute, but part of long-term investment risk management.

METHOD

This research uses a qualitative descriptive method with a literature study approach. The data used are secondary data sourced from official publications of the Central Statistics Agency (BPS), Bank Indonesia, the Indonesia Stock Exchange authorities, issuers' financial reports, and trusted economic and financial media reports as of July 2026. The analysis is conducted descriptively and comparatively by comparing macroeconomic trends, issuers' revenue and profitability performance across subsectors (coal, nickel, and gold), stock price movements, and identifying investment opportunities and challenges based on the collected qualitative and quantitative data, without using formal statistical testing.

Stages and Focus of Analysis

The analysis was conducted in four stages. First, macroeconomic and sectoral data were summarized to illustrate the context of growth, inflation, interest rates, exchange rates, and the mining sector's contribution to the economy. Second, issuer performance information was grouped by primary commodity to highlight differences in subsector characteristics. Third, opportunities and risks were mapped based on market, policy, technology, and ESG factors. Fourth, the mapping results were translated into investment strategy implications, taking into account investors' time horizons and risk tolerances. This approach is not intended to generate individual buy or sell recommendations, but rather provides an analytical framework for assessing the relative attractiveness of the mining sector.

To maintain consistency, all quantitative information is treated as a snapshot of the observation period and is not mechanically extrapolated into the future. The market data and company performance used in this article primarily represent conditions from 2025 to mid-2026, as stated in the secondary sources used. Therefore, the research results should be read as a contextual analysis that is sensitive to changes in commodity prices, government policies, and financial market conditions.

Table 1. Focus of Investment Analysis in the Mining Sector

Dimensions	Observed Indicators	Implications for Investors
Macroeconomics	Economic growth, BI-Rate, inflation, exchange rate	Determining the cost of capital, purchasing power, and sensitivity of export issuers
Commodity	Price, demand, supply, industrial cycle	Affecting revenue, margins, and valuation
Issuer Fundamentals	Revenue, profit, cash flow, production costs, debt	Describes the quality of performance and financial resilience
Policy	Downstream, DMO, royalties, licensing	Creating opportunities as well as risks of regulatory change
Technology	Digitalization, automation, battery technology	Influencing the efficiency and prospects of commodity demand
ESG	Environmental, social, safety, governance	Affects access to financing, reputation, and long-term risk

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Macroeconomic Profile and Conditions of the Mining Sector

Indonesia's mining sector is capital-intensive, long-term, and export-oriented, so its performance is heavily influenced by global commodity price cycles and the rupiah exchange rate. The sector's contribution to Gross Domestic Product (GDP) shows a downward trend, from 12.22% in 2022 to 10.50% in 2023, 9.15% in 2024, 8.75% in 2025, and is in the range of 8.09%–8.69% in the first quarter of 2026. The mining sector is even recorded as the only major business sector to experience contraction, namely -0.66% throughout 2025 and -2.14% (yoy) in the first quarter of 2026, amid solid national economic growth of 5.61% (yoy) during the same period. Macroeconomic conditions also shape the cost landscape and competitiveness of mining issuers. Bank Indonesia set the BI-Rate at 5.75% as of July 2026 in response to pressure from the rupiah exchange rate, which had weakened to around Rp16,896

per US dollar. Annual inflation was recorded at 3.34% in June 2026, still within Bank Indonesia's target range. On the policy side, the government is implementing a mineral downstreaming program, a Domestic Market Obligation (DMO) for coal, consolidation of state-owned mining companies through the MIND ID holding company, and incentives for investment in downstreaming and renewable energy, amidst global geopolitical uncertainty that has also impacted the prices of gold and nickel, both as hedging assets and strategic raw materials for electric vehicles.

Value Chain Structure and Sources of Value Creation

The mining value chain can be divided into exploration, mine development, production, transportation, processing or refining, and marketing. Each stage carries a distinct risk profile. Companies still in the exploration stage face reserve uncertainty and high financing needs, while established producers are more easily valued based on production volume, cash costs, margins, and cash flow. Issuers that are integrated down to processing have the potential to generate greater added value, but also require more complex capital expenditures and project management.

For investors, the value chain structure explains why two issuers in the same commodity can perform differently. Companies with long-lived reserves, efficient infrastructure, controlled energy costs, and strong market access tend to have greater room to withstand price declines. Conversely, companies with high costs and significant leverage are more vulnerable to cyclical pressures. Therefore, mining sector assessments should combine commodity price prospects with the company's cost position and balance sheet quality.

Analysis by Commodity Subsector

The coal subsector still plays a significant role in export revenues and domestic energy supply, but faces two sets of risks. In the short term, declining coal prices could directly depress revenue and profits, as a significant portion of revenue is tied to commodity selling prices. This situation is evident in the pressure on PTBA's performance in 2025, which this article notes experienced a 42.55% year-on-year (yoy) decline in net profit. In the long term, the energy transition increases pressure on financing sources and encourages investors to assess the company's diversification strategy. However, domestic energy needs and the Debt-Free Market (DMO) policy continue to generate fundamental demand, so this subsector cannot be judged solely on the narrative of declining global coal use.

The nickel subsector has attracted significant attention due to its links to the battery and electric vehicle industries. The main opportunity lies in the integration of mining, refining, and raw materials for downstream industries. Downstream policies strengthen Indonesia's position in the supply chain, but increased capacity can also pose the risk of oversupply and price pressure. Investors should distinguish issuers with competitive production costs, clear sales contracts, and sound financing structures from projects that rely solely on high price assumptions. Technology risks are also relevant, as the development of LFP batteries could reduce the intensity of nickel use in some electric vehicle segments.

The gold subsector has a distinct character because, in addition to its function as a commodity, it is also viewed as a store of value. When geopolitical uncertainty, inflation risk, or market volatility increase, gold prices can gain support, providing gold producers with a relatively attractive diversification feature within their mining portfolios. ANTM's strong performance in 2025, as noted in this article, demonstrates that exposure to gold and nickel can provide distinct sources of growth. However, investors should still carefully consider production costs, ore grades, reserves, and capital expenditure requirements.

Copper and bauxite also hold strategic potential in the context of electrification and infrastructure development. Copper is widely used in power grids, electric vehicles, and electronic equipment, while bauxite is a raw material for aluminum, which is needed in various industries. Domestic processing policies can increase the added value of both commodities. However, investment feasibility remains dependent on the scale of reserves, energy availability, certainty of smelter projects, and global price conditions.

Table 2. Comparison of Investment Characteristics Between Subsectors

Subsector	Main Drivers	Main Risks	Investment Character
Coal	Energy demand, DMO, export prices	Price weakening, energy transition, policy	Cash flows can be strong during high cycles, but are volatile and price sensitive.
Nickel	Downstream, batteries, electric vehicles	Oversupply, high capex, technology substitution	High structural growth with project execution risks
Gold	Global uncertainty, demand for safe havens	Production costs, reserve quality, gold prices	Potential to be a diversifier in a commodity portfolio
Copper/Bauxite	Electrification, infrastructure, domestic processing	Smelter needs, energy, global prices	Long-term prospects are good, but very capital intensive

Investment Opportunities and Challenges in the Mining Sector

The performance of mining issuers in 2025 showed divergent patterns across subsectors. Gold and nickel-based issuers like ANTM recorded 22% year-on-year revenue growth and a 106% year-on-year surge in net profit, while coal issuers like PTBA experienced revenue pressure and a 42.55% year-on-year decline in net profit due to weakening global coal prices. Nevertheless, foreign investor interest in mining stocks remained strong, as reflected in net foreign buys of MDKA shares worth IDR 373.8 billion in one week, despite an 8.69% decline in the Jakarta Composite Index (JCI) in early June 2026.

Key investment opportunities in this sector include mineral downstreaming policies that encourage the development of nickel and bauxite smelters, increasing global demand for nickel and copper for the energy transition (green economy), digitalization of mining operations, the demographic bonus, the state-owned enterprise consolidation program through MIND ID, continued high interest from foreign investors, and portfolio diversification opportunities in issuers with diversified commodities such as ANTM and MDKA.

On the other hand, investment challenges include global economic uncertainty, commodity price fluctuations, regulatory changes related to royalties and DMOs, industry competition with global producers, post-election political risks, ESG risks that could limit access to international financing, disruption of alternative battery (LFP) technology that has the potential to suppress long-term nickel demand, as well as exchange rate risks and stock market volatility due to fluctuating foreign capital flows.

Deepening Investment Opportunities

Investment opportunities in the mining sector stem not only from rising commodity prices but also from the transformation of the industry's structure. Downstreaming encourages companies to move towards higher-value products, while digitalization opens up efficiency opportunities through heavy equipment monitoring, production schedule optimization, predictive maintenance, data-driven geological mapping, and supply chain integration. For investors, the benefits of digitalization can be seen in reduced downtime, fuel efficiency, increased safety, and production consistency. Companies that can translate technology investments into cost-effectiveness have the potential to be more resilient when commodity prices decline.

The next opportunity stems from the material needs for the energy transition. The electrification of transportation and power grids is strengthening the long-term demand for certain metals, particularly nickel and copper. However, this opportunity should not be translated into an assumption that all relevant issuers will benefit equally. Competitive advantage remains determined by reserve quality, production costs, energy access, processing technology, infrastructure location, sales contracts, and capital allocation discipline. In other words, positive structural themes need to be combined with fundamentals-based issuer selection.

Deepening Investment Risks and Challenges

Commodity price fluctuations represent the most direct risk, as small price changes can lead to larger changes in profits when fixed costs are high. This risk is especially significant for companies with significant debt or financing expansion projects. In high prices, leverage can accelerate profit growth; conversely, in low prices, interest expenses and working capital requirements can restrict financial flexibility. Therefore, investors need to assess the company's

debt ratio, loan maturities, operating cash flow, and ability to finance capital expenditures without excessive reliance on external funding. Regulatory risk also has a two-way nature. Downstream policies, DMOs, royalty provisions, exports, and licensing can support domestic industrial development, but regulatory changes can impact companies' cost structures and investment decisions. This risk does not necessarily imply negative policies, but rather uncertainty regarding the timing, magnitude of impact, and the company's ability to adapt its business model. Issuers with market diversification, good governance, and strong compliance relationships tend to be better prepared for regulatory changes. Furthermore, capital market risks can increase when foreign investors make rapid allocation changes. Data in the article shows that foreign buying continued in certain stocks even when the Jakarta Composite Index (JCI) corrected in early June 2026. This phenomenon demonstrates that sector sentiment and broader market sentiment do not always move in the same direction. For investors, foreign capital flows are useful as an indicator of liquidity and sentiment, but they are insufficient to base decisions without fundamental analysis.

ESG, Governance, and Sustainability as Investment Factors

In the mining industry, failure to manage environmental and social risks can have financial consequences through operational shutdowns, fines, remediation costs, community conflicts, and increased capital costs. Therefore, the quality of ESG disclosures should be assessed alongside operational track records. Investors can examine the consistency of reclamation, waste and water management, occupational safety, community complaint mechanisms, and transparency of payments and permits. This approach aligns with the view that material sustainability issues can impact company value through cash flow, risk, and the cost of capital.

Governance plays a central role because mining investment decisions typically involve large projects with long-term horizons. Management discipline in project selection, cost control, avoiding excessive expansion at cycle peaks, and maintaining transaction transparency are important indicators of management quality. In this context, investors need to assess whether asset growth is truly accompanied by increased returns on invested capital and cash flow, or whether it simply increases scale without creating sufficient economic value.

Investment Strategy and Portfolio Scenarios

Based on sector characteristics, a more appropriate investment strategy is a phased and scenario-based one. Investors with a medium to long-term horizon can build positions gradually to mitigate the risk of mistimed investments during volatile stock and commodity prices. Diversification can be achieved not only between issuers but also between commodities with different demand sources. Combining exposure to gold with industrial metals, for example, can provide a balance between defensive and growth characteristics. However, portfolio composition must still be tailored to each investor's risk tolerance.

Using scenarios helps investors avoid relying on a single projection. In an optimistic scenario, strong global growth, high commodity prices, and smooth downstreaming can boost revenue and valuations. In the baseline scenario, commodity prices tend to moderate, and performance is driven more by cost efficiency and volume growth. In a pessimistic scenario, weakening global demand, rising financing costs, or regulatory changes could pressure margins. Issuers with strong balance sheets and low production costs generally have greater resilience in all three scenarios.

Table 3. Mining Sector Investment Scenario Matrix

Scenario	Main Conditions	Potential Impact	Investor Response
Optimistic	Global demand is strong, commodity prices are rising, downstream projects are running smoothly	Revenue and margins improve; valuation could expand	Maintain valuation discipline, rebalancing when weights are too large
Base	Moderate commodity prices, stable demand, controlled costs	Performance is determined by efficiency, volume, and quality of assets	Focus on fundamentally strong issuers and diversification
Pessimistic	Demand weakens, prices fall, capital or regulatory costs increase	Margins decline, expansion projects risk being delayed	Prioritize strong balance sheet, low costs, gradual investment

Implications for Investors and Policymakers

For investors, the article's findings highlight the importance of combining top-down and bottom-up analysis. Top-down analysis is used to understand commodity cycles, interest rates, exchange rates, and policies, while bottom-

up analysis is used to assess reserve quality, production costs, capital structure, cash flow, and issuer governance. The combination of the two helps reduce the risk of decisions based solely on short-term stock price increases or the popularity of a commodity. For policymakers, long-term investment attractiveness requires regulatory consistency, certainty of permits, infrastructure, data quality, and predictable environmental and safety standards. Effective downstreaming requires consideration of energy competitiveness, technological readiness, and sustainable economic benefits. Clear policies can reduce uncertainty and help investors distinguish normal business risks from those arising from regulatory changes.

Fundamental Analysis of Mining Issuers

In addition to understanding commodity trends, investors need to analyze issuers' fundamentals to assess whether the company has the ability to translate sectoral opportunities into cash flow and shareholder value. The first indicator is volume and revenue growth. Revenue increases derived solely from rising commodity prices tend to be more sensitive to cyclical reversals than growth that is also supported by increased volume, productivity improvements, or capacity additions that generate cash flow . Therefore, growth should be interpreted in conjunction with changes in average selling price and unit production costs.

The second indicator is margins and cost structure. A low cost position provides protection when commodity prices decline because the company still has room to maintain margins. Investors can examine cash costs, energy costs, transportation costs, stripping ratios for open-pit mines, ore grades, and processing facility efficiency. Companies with continuously rising costs without corresponding productivity improvements are likely to experience profit pressure even if commodity prices are relatively stable. Cost analysis also needs to account for reclamation obligations and environmental compliance costs to avoid an overly optimistic profitability picture.

The third indicator is cash flow. While net profit is important, operating cash flow and free cash flow are more relevant in assessing an issuer's ability to service debt, distribute dividends, and finance expansion. In capital-intensive industries, companies can record high profits but still have low free cash flow if capital expenditure requirements are significant. Therefore, investors should differentiate between maintenance capital expenditures required to maintain production and growth capital expenditures aimed at increasing capacity or building downstream facilities.

The fourth indicator is capital structure and capital allocation discipline. Debt can enhance returns during favorable commodity cycles, but it can also pose a risk when prices weaken. The debt-to-equity ratio, net debt to EBITDA, interest coverage, maturity schedules, and cash availability should all be considered simultaneously. During periods of high commodity prices, disciplined management typically uses excess cash to strengthen the balance sheet, maintain productive investments, and deliver measured returns to shareholders, rather than aggressive expansion, which increases risk during subsequent downturns.

Stock Valuation and Margin of Safety

Valuing mining stocks requires caution because earnings at the peak of the cycle can make the price-earnings ratio appear artificially cheap. When commodity prices are very high, issuers' earnings increase and P/E ratios decline, but this is not necessarily sustainable. Conversely, during a downturn, the P/E can appear high because earnings decline while asset and reserve values still have long-term potential. Therefore, investors should use several approaches simultaneously, such as price-to-book value, enterprise value to EBITDA, dividend yield, and estimates of the present value of cash flows or economic reserves, if available.

The concept of margin of safety is important because commodity price projections involve a high degree of uncertainty. Investors can use conservative price assumptions, incorporate higher cost scenarios, and avoid valuations that can only be justified if commodity prices remain at peak levels. This approach doesn't eliminate risk, but it helps ensure that investment decisions remain rational if market conditions don't develop according to optimistic scenarios.

The Influence of Exchange Rates, Interest Rates, and Liquidity

The rupiah exchange rate has a complex impact on mining issuers. Many commodities are traded in US dollars, so a weakening rupiah can increase the value of rupiah-denominated revenues for exporters. However, some costs, debt, equipment, fuel, or import requirements may also be denominated in dollars. The net impact depends on each issuer's revenue and cost structure. Investors need to assess a company's natural hedge, foreign currency debt composition, and hedging policies to avoid simply assuming that a weakening rupiah always benefits mining companies. Interest rates impact the sector through financing costs and valuations. Rising interest rates can increase the cost of debt for new projects and reduce the present value of future cash flows, particularly for downstream projects with long payback periods. High interest rates can also shift investor preferences toward fixed-income assets,

potentially depressing riskier stock valuations. The BI-Rate data included in this article is therefore relevant not only as a macro indicator but also as a variable influencing the hurdle rate in corporate and investor investment decisions. Stock liquidity is also important to consider. Large-cap issuers generally have better transaction liquidity, while smaller stocks can experience sharp price swings when there are inflows or outflows of funds. Institutional investors tend to consider liquidity because it affects the ability to build and reduce positions without significantly impacting prices. For individual investors, liquidity remains crucial, as wide spreads and low transaction volumes can increase the implicit costs of investing.

Geopolitics, Global Trade, and Supply Chain Resilience

Geopolitics can impact the mining sector through shifting trade routes, tariff policies, export restrictions, territorial conflicts, and competition for strategic minerals. As countries seek to secure critical mineral supplies, producers with large reserves can gain a stronger bargaining position. However, trade fragmentation can also increase logistics costs, increase contract uncertainty, and drive changes in product origin standards. Therefore, investors need to monitor not only commodity prices but also the structure of export markets and the concentration of major company buyers.

Supply chain resilience is becoming increasingly important for downstream projects because mineral processing relies on reliable supplies of energy, supporting materials, port infrastructure, and logistical certainty. Disruptions in any part of the supply chain can reduce facility utilization and increase unit costs. Issuers with integrated infrastructure, long-term supply contracts, and access to multiple markets tend to be more resilient. For investors, this aspect can be the difference between a theoretically attractive project and one that is actually capable of generating consistent cash flow.

Table 4. Mining Issuer Selection Checklist

Aspect	Key Questions	Practical Indicators
Reserves and Production	Are reserves adequate and production can be maintained?	Mine life, volume, grade, reserve replacement
Cost and Efficiency	Is the company in a competitive cost position?	Cash cost, energy, logistics, productivity
Finance	Are the balance sheet and cash flow strong enough to weather the downturn?	Free cash flow, net debt/EBITDA, interest coverage
Growth	Does the new project generate returns that exceed the cost of capital?	Capex, project schedule, utilization, ROIC
Commodity	Are the demand and supply outlooks supportive?	Price, inventory, new capacity, technology substitution
ESG and Governance	Are material risks managed consistently?	Safety, reclamation, social conflict, transparency
Valuation	Does the stock price provide a margin of safety?	P/E normalized, EV/EBITDA, PBV, dividend yield

Limitations of Analysis

This study has several limitations. First, the qualitative descriptive method does not examine the causal relationship between macroeconomic variables, commodity prices, and stock returns. Second, the use of secondary data makes the analysis dependent on the availability and consistency of the publications used. Third, mining market conditions can change rapidly, so performance figures from 2025 to mid-2026 cannot be treated as automatic projections for the following period. Fourth, the article compares subsectors in general and does not conduct detailed intrinsic valuations for each issuer.

These limitations also open up opportunities for further research. Future studies could use panel data from issuers to examine the effects of commodity prices, exchange rates, interest rates, leverage, and ESG indicators on stock returns or valuations. Research could also compare periods before and after specific downstreaming policies, measure the impact of royalty changes on profitability, or test whether inter-commodity diversification actually reduces portfolio volatility on the Indonesia Stock Exchange. Such a quantitative approach would strengthen the descriptive findings in this article.

Dividends, Buybacks, and Capital Allocation Discipline

The cyclical nature of the mining sector requires that shareholder cash distribution policies be assessed within the context of the cycle phase. A high dividend yield can be attractive, but investors need to ensure that dividends are supported by operating cash flow and not by increased debt or asset sales. During times of high commodity prices, profits and cash can increase rapidly. A sound policy generally balances dividend payments with capital expenditure needs, balance sheet strengthening, and providing liquidity to weather potential price declines. Therefore, the stability of dividend policy and management's ability to maintain financial flexibility can be indicators of a company's quality.

Share repurchase programs, or buybacks, can also create value when shares are trading below their fair value and the company has excess cash. However, buybacks are less effective when conducted at high valuations or when they reduce the funding needed for positive-value projects. Therefore, investors should assess dividend, buyback, acquisition, and expansion decisions as a unified capital allocation policy. The goal is to assess whether management is using cash to increase long-term value per share, rather than simply expanding the company's size.

Decision Making Framework for Medium and Long Term Investors

Based on the overall analysis, investment decisions can be formulated in a systematic manner. The first stage is determining the commodity's outlook, considering demand, supply, new capacity, and substitution risk. The second stage is screening issuers based on asset quality, cost position, balance sheet, cash flow, and governance. The third stage is conducting a valuation using conservative commodity price assumptions. The fourth stage is determining position size based on risk tolerance and correlation with other assets in the portfolio. The fifth stage is establishing indicators to be monitored after the investment, such as production developments, costs, debt, expansion projects, and regulatory changes.

This approach helps distinguish between an investment thesis and short-term price movements. Stock prices can fall even if the fundamental thesis remains unchanged, or they can rise when fundamentals begin to weaken due to market sentiment. Therefore, investors need clear evaluation criteria. Positions can be maintained when fundamental factors remain consistent with the thesis and valuations remain reasonable, while reconsideration is necessary when key assumptions change, such as deteriorating reserves, structural cost increases, increased leverage, major project delays, or regulations materially altering business economics.

In the context of the Indonesian mining sector, this strategy is relevant because the long-term opportunities of downstreaming and the energy transition coexist with short-term volatility. Investors who solely pursue price momentum risk buying during commodity euphoria, while those who focus too much on risk may miss out on the value appreciation of successful projects. A framework based on fundamentals, valuation, diversification, and disciplined monitoring provides a more balanced basis for navigating the high-risk, high-return nature of the sector, which is the main conclusion of this article.

Investor Profile and Strategy Adjustment

Not all investors have the same ability and tolerance for volatility in the mining sector. Conservative investors tend to be more suited to selecting issuers with strong balance sheets, positive cash flow, established reserves, and relatively consistent dividend policies. Exposure to the gold subsector or diversified issuers may be considered because their income sources are not dependent on a single commodity. For this group, the primary goal is not to maximize profits during an upturn, but rather to keep downside risk tolerable.

Moderate investors can combine established issuers with some exposure to companies with growth projects. This approach offers the opportunity to benefit from downstreaming and rising demand for strategic minerals without relying entirely on high-risk projects. Moderate investors should set position size limits and rebalance when mining stocks become overweight due to rising prices. Rebalancing maintains portfolio discipline and prevents unintentional concentration of risk.

Aggressive investors may be more attracted to issuers with large expansion projects, high operating leverage, or strong exposure to commodities entering a growth phase. While the potential returns for these groups can be higher, the downside risk is also greater if projects are delayed, costs overrun, or commodity prices decline. Therefore, aggressive strategies still require a thesis-based stop-loss, not just a price limit. A decline in stock price doesn't necessarily mean a thesis is failing, but changes in reserves, costs, permitting, funding, or technology can be fundamental reasons to reevaluate an investment.

These profile differences demonstrate that sector recommendations cannot be generalized. Sectors deemed promising on a macro level may not be suitable for every investor. Final decisions must align sector opportunities with volatility-bearing capacity, liquidity needs, investment horizon, and other asset composition. With this approach, mining analysis becomes part of broader portfolio planning, not a stand-alone speculative decision.

Table 5. Strategy Adjustments Based on Investor Risk Profile

Profile	Main Focus	Prioritized Issuer Characteristics	Portfolio Approach
Conservative	Capital protection and stability	Strong balance sheet, stable cash flow, low costs, good governance	Limited weight, high diversification, gradual purchase
Moderate	Balance of growth and risk	Established issuers plus measurable growth projects	Inter-commodity diversification and periodic rebalancing
Aggressive	Growth and capital gains	High operational leverage or large expansion projects	More active weighting with tight fundamental monitoring

Post-Investment Monitoring Indicators

The decision to buy shares is not the end of the analysis process. After an investment is made, investors need to monitor indicators that can confirm or disprove their thesis. Operational indicators include production volume, unit costs, ore grade, smelter utilization, and project milestones. Financial indicators include free cash flow, debt, liquidity, and capital expenditures. External indicators include commodity prices, exchange rates, interest rates, regulatory changes, and technological developments that can alter demand patterns. Structured monitoring reduces the tendency to base decisions solely on daily market sentiment.

Evaluations should be conducted periodically and when material events occur. If the stock price declines but production, costs, balance sheet, and commodity prospects remain within assumptions, the decline may create an opportunity to gradually add to the position. Conversely, if the stock price rises while cash flow weakens or key projects are delayed, investors should consider whether the valuation still provides a margin of safety. This discipline helps maintain consistency between initial analysis and actions throughout the investment period.

CLOSING

Conclusion

Indonesia's mining sector holds a strategic position in the national economy, although its contribution to GDP continues to decline and was the only major sector to contract in the first quarter of 2026. Issuer performance shows a divergent pattern, with gold and nickel issuers recording strong profit growth, while coal issuers face pressure from weakening commodity prices. The medium- to long-term outlook for this sector remains positive, supported by the mineral downstreaming agenda, global demand for nickel and copper, and state-owned enterprise consolidation through MIND ID. Although in the short term, the sector remains volatile following commodity price cycles and geopolitical dynamics. Overall, the mining sector can be categorized as a sector with a high risk-high return profile, more suitable for investors with a medium to long investment horizon.

Suggestions and Thanks

Investors are advised to diversify their portfolios across commodity subsectors, prioritize issuers with strong fundamentals and good governance (ESG), monitor macro indicators such as the BI-Rate, the rupiah exchange rate, and the Fed's policies, and implement a phased investment strategy (dollar-cost averaging) given the high volatility of mining stock prices in the short term. The authors would like to thank the lecturers in the Investment and Portfolio Management course for their guidance in preparing this study.

REFERENCES

Central Statistics Agency (BPS). 2026. Release of Indonesia's Economic Growth for the First Quarter of 2026.
Bank Indonesia. 2026. Results of the Monthly Meeting of the Board of Governors of Bank Indonesia, July 2026.
Bisnis.com. 2026. Mining Sector Performance Contracted in Q1/2026.
CNBC Indonesia. 2026. Mining Down, Here's the Business Condition in Indonesia in the First Quarter of 2026.
CNN Indonesia. 2026. Bank Indonesia Maintains BI Rate at 5.75 Percent as of July 2026.
DDTC News. 2024. Coal Mineral Sector Contribution to GDP Reaches IDR 2,198 Trillion.
Infobank Store. 2025. Mining Contribution to GDP Drops to 8.99%.

Investor.id. 2026. ANTM, INCO, MDKA: Stock Recommendation Competition; July 2026 RDG: BI Rate Maintained at 5.75%; BI Rate Projection for July 2026.
Cash (Stocksetup). 2026. ANTM INCO PTBA Shares to Rise in 2026, Check Out Prospective Stocks to Buy.
Cash (Data Center). 2026. Indonesia's Economic Growth 2023-2025.
Ministry of Agriculture of the Republic of Indonesia. 2026. Release of Economic Growth Data for the First Quarter of 2026.
Mining Indonesia. 2023. The Mining and Quarrying Sector's Contribution to Economic Growth Continues to Increase.
Mirae Asset Securities (m-stock). 2026. 5 Most Attractive Mining Stocks in 2026.
My Tax. 2026. Indonesian Economy Grows 5.11% in 2025.
Pluang Academy. 2026. Mining Stocks: Definition, List of Issuers & How to Analyze Coal, Gold, and Nickel 2026.
Readers.id. 2026. State-Owned Mining Company MIND ID Shares Move Variably as of April 1, 2026.
Tribunnews. 2026. Bank Indonesia Holds BI Rate at 5.75 Percent in July 2026.
Inikata.co.id. 2026. The Jakarta Composite Index (JCI) plummeted 8.69%, but foreign investors snapped up shares in MDKA and ADRO in 2026.
Friede, G., Busch, T., & Bassen, A. 2015. ESG and Financial Performance: Aggregated Evidence from More than 2000 Empirical Studies. Journal of Sustainable Finance & Investment, 5(4), 210-233.
Markowitz, H. 1952. Portfolio Selection. The Journal of Finance, 7(1), 77-91.
Porter, ME, & van der Linde, C. 1995. Toward a New Conception of the Environment-Competitiveness Relationship. Journal of Economic Perspectives, 9(4), 97-118.
Sharpe, WF 1964. Capital Asset Prices: A Theory of Market Equilibrium under Conditions of Risk. The Journal of Finance, 19(3), 425-442.