

Cheptian Simamora¹, Sugih Arto Pujangkoro², Iskandarini³

1,2,3 Master of Management Program, Post Graduated School Universitas Sumatera Utara Correspondence E-mail: cheptian.s@gmail.com

Abstract

Company performance must be seen broadly by identifying the success of the organization in meeting community needs and making improvements and improving services to the community because the government's performance has led to good governance. A successful organization is an organization that has a vision and mission that is clear, measurable and can be actualized in company performance. Performance measurement covers various aspects so that it can provide efficient and effective information in achieving performance. Measuring company performance cannot be separated from the performance of its employees. By doing their work, employees produce something called output. Performance is the result of work carried out by employees or real behavior that is reflected in their role in the organization as the organization has one goal, namely seeking profit. The organization can act on the activities or actions of the organization's employees. From previous research observations, it is also known that the phenomenon that occurs in organizations is that there is still a lack of role models for managers in terms of entering and leaving work on time, so this has become a culture or tradition among employees because many employees are not punctual, making employee performance not optimal.

Keywords: Performance, Company, Organization, Employees

1. INTRODUCTION

Company performance must be seen broadly by identifying the success of the organization in meeting community needs and making improvements and improving services to the community because the government's performance has led to good governance. A successful organization is an organization that has a vision and mission that is clear, measurable and can be actualized in company performance. Performance measurement covers various aspects so that it can provide efficient and effective information in achieving performance. Measuring company performance cannot be separated from the performance of its employees. By doing their work, employees produce something called output. Performance is the result of work carried out by employees or real behavior that is reflected in their role in the organization as the organization has one goal, namely seeking profit. The organization can act on the activities or actions of the organization's employees.

PT Abad Jaya Abadi Sentosa is a company operating in the construction sector located in Lhokseuamwe City. The performance of PT Abad Jaya Abadi Sentosa has decreased in recent years. This decline in company performance was caused by deteriorating employee performance. The following is the performance of PT Abad Jaya Abadi Sentosa in 2018-2022. Employee behavior is related to the implementation of Organizational Citizenship Behavior (OCB). OCB is extra behavior that is not part of an employee's formal obligations, but functions to support the organization effectively (Robbins and Judge, 2017). The benefits of OCB to organizations are that it can help increase the productivity of co-workers, increase the efficiency of using organizational human resources for productive purposes, as an effective means of coordinating activities between employees and between work groups. The following are the results of the pre-survey regarding OCB.

Cheptian Simamora¹, Sugih Arto Pujangkoro², Iskandarini³.

2. IMPLEMENTATION METHOD

The data required/collected for analysis purposes includes primary data and secondary data. So in this research the data used in data collection are:

- 1. Primary data was collected directly through a questionnaire consisting of question items distributed to employees of PT Abad Jaya Abadi Sentosa.
- 2. Secondary data, obtained from PT Abad Jaya Abadi Sentosa documentation.

1. Variable Measurement Scale

The variable measurement scale used in this research uses a Likert scale which functions to measure variable indicators that produce ordinal data. The Likert scale used to measure attitudes, opinions and perceptions of people or groups of people about this social phenomenon has been specifically determined by researchers (Sugiyono, 2017).

2. Operational Variables

The variables that will be used in this research are as follows: Independent Variables consisting of:

- a. Organizational Culture as the first exogenous variable (X1).
- b. Organizational Commitment as the second exogenous variable (X2)
- c. Leadership as the second exogenous variable (X.3)
- 1. Dependent Variable consisting of:
- a. The dependent variable is Organizational Citizenship Behavior (Y)

3. Validity and Reliability Test

Based on the analysis results, all statements were declared valid because they had a significance level below 5%. Meanwhile, if done manually, the correlation value obtained for each statement must be compared with the product moment correlation critical value where the results show that all statements have a correlation value above the critical value of 5%, namely above 0.3610 (See Correlation Critical Value Table R Product–Moment for n=30), so that the statements contained in the questionnaire are significant and have construct validity.

4. Data analysis technique

Regression analysis is basically a technique for analyzing the relationship that occurs between 2 or more variables (Sugiyono, 2014). Referring to the research framework and research hypotheses that have been stated previously,

5. Classic assumption test

The use of multiple linear regression as a data analysis tool requires classical assumption tests. The classical assumption tests used in this research include normality and multicollinearity tests. Meanwhile, the autocorrelation test and heteroscedasticity test are not used, because generally cases of autocorrelation and heteroscedasticity only occur in time series data (Sekaran, 2013).

6. Hypothesis testing

Simultaneous test (F) is to test the independent variables in the regression equation which together influence the value of the dependent variable. Testing Criteria:

- a. If F count > F table, then accept Ha and reject H0 at the 5% significance level.
- b. If F count < F table, then accept H0 and reject Ha at the 5% significance level.



RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

- 1. Research Instrument Test Results
- A. Validity Test Results

Validity Test Results Table

NI- O	4-4		Correlation	Critical Value5%	T 7 - 4
No. Statement		Variable	coefficient	(N=95)	Ket
1	A1		0.742		
2	A2		0.784		
3	A3	Organizational Citizenship Behavior	0.739	0.202	Valid
4	A4	Citizenship Behavior	0.348		
5	A5		0.740		
6	B1		0.675		
7	B2		0.733		
8	В3	Organizational culture	0.665	0.202	Valid
9	B4		0.716		
10	B5		0.685		
	C1		0.867		
12	C2		0.848	0.202	Valid
13	C3	Organizational Commitment	0.821		
14	C4		0.802		vana
15	C5		0.866		
16	C6		0.854		
17	D1		0.923		
18	D2		0.938		
19	D3		0.931		
20	D4		0.928		
21	D5	Loodomhin	0.930	0.202	Valid
22	D6	Leadership	0.933	0.202	v and
23	D7		0.958		
24	D8		0.925		
25	D9		0.919		
26	D10		0.883		

Source: Primary Data 2023, (processed)

Based on the table above, it can be explained that all the variables used in this research are all declared valid, because they have a correlation coefficient above the critical value of product moment correlation, which is 0.202 so that all the questions contained in this research questionnaire are declared valid for further in-depth research. Thus, all instrument items for each variable in this study have met the requirements for further testing.

Cheptian Simamora¹, Sugih Arto Pujangkoro², Iskandarini³.

B. Reliability Test Results

Research Variable Reliability Table (Alpha)

No.	Variable	Items Variable	Alpha Value	Ket
1.	Organizational Citizenship Behavior	5	0.603	Reliable
2.	Organizational culture	5	0.711	Reliable
3.	Organizational Commitment	6	0.917	Reliable
4.	Leadership	10	0.981	Reliable

Source: Primary Data 2023, (processed)

Based on the reliability analysis, it can be seen that the alpha for each respondent's perception variable can be seen from several variables, namely that it has met Cronbach Alpha credibility where the alpha value is greater than Alpha 0.60.

2. Classic assumption test

1. Normality Test Results

 $Normality\ Test\ Table-One\ Sample\ Kolgomogorov-Smirnov\ Test$

One-Sample Kolmogorov-Smirnov Test

		Unstandardized Residuals
N		95
Normal Parameters, b	Mean	,0000000
	Std. Deviation	,26872391
Most Extreme Differences	Absolute	,078
	Positive	,064
	Negative	-,078
Statistical Tests		,078
Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)		,189c

- a. Test distribution is Normal.
- b. Calculated from data.
- c. Lilliefors Significance Correction.

Source: SPSS Output Results

The table shows that the significance value of the normality test is 0.189 or > 0.05, meaning that the residual data is normally distributed. The results of the test above are also supported by the results of graphic analysis, namely the histogram graph and the Normal Probability Plot graph as seen in figures 4.1 and 4.2 below. Based on the histogram graph in Figure 4.1 below, it can be concluded that the data distribution pattern is close to normal.



2. Multicollinearity Test

Table of Multicollinearity Test Results

= 110 = 0 = = = = 110 =							
Independent Variable	Tolerance	VIF	Information				
Organizational culture	0.528	1,895	Free from Multicollinearity				
Organizational Commitment	0.404	2,477	Free from Multicollinearity				
Leadership	0.519	1,925	Free from Multicollinearity				

Source: 2023 data (processed).

From the table above it can be seen that all independent variables have a Tolerance value of more than 0.10, meaning there is no correlation between the independent variables. Likewise, the results of calculating the Variance Inflation Factor (VIF) value also show the same thing that all independent variables have a VIF value of less than 10. So it can be concluded that there is no multicollinearity between independent variables in the regression model in this study.

3. Descriptive Variable Organizational Citizenship Behavior

Table of Respondents' Perceptions of Organizational Citizenship Behavior Variables

No	Statement	SS	S	K.S	T.S	STS	Average
1.	I help my coworkers when needed	32	37	21	5	0	4.01
2.	I very rarely miss work	34	38	21	2	0	4.09
3.	I show concern for the sustainability of the organization	30	50	13	2	0	4.13
4.	I rarely complain and accept company policies	14	75	6	0	0	4.08
5.	I maintain good relations with my superiors and fellow co-workers	32	37	23	3	0	4.00
A	verage		•	•			4.08

Source: Primary Data, 2023 (processed).

Based on the table, it can be seen that the average Organizational Citizenship Behavior value is 4.08. The average value is 4.08 > 3.41, meaning that the respondent's perception of the question items related to Organizational Citizenship Behavior is "Good".

Cheptian Simamora¹, Sugih Arto Pujangkoro², Iskandarini³.

4. Descriptive Organizational Culture Variables

Table of Respondents' Perceptions of Organizational Culture Variables

	Tuesto of reespondents 10	•					
No	Statement	SS	S	K.S	T.S	STS	Average
1.	I am innovative and dare to take risks	59	33	3	0	0	4.58
2.	I focus more on the results than on the techniques and processes used to achieve the results	61	33	1	0	0	4.63
3.	I prioritize teamwork over individuals	39	40	14	1	1	4.21
4.	I am innovative, aggressive and competitive in my work	40	43	8	4	0	4.25
5.	I want to contribute further to advancing this organization	25	48	19	3	0	4.00
A	verage						4.33

Source: Primary Data, 2023 (processed).

Based on this, it can be seen that the average Organizational Culture value is 4.33. The average value is 4.33 > 3.41, meaning that the respondent's perception of the question items related to Organizational Culture is "Good".

5. Descriptive Variable Organizational Commitment

Table of Respondents' Perceptions of Organizational Commitment Variables

			Alternative Answers				
No	Statement	SS	S	K.S	T.S	STS	Average
1.	I haveSense of belonging to the organization	51	31	12	1	0	4.37
2.	I have a feeling of attachment to the organization	46	30	17	2	0	4.26
3.	I am able to interpret the organization personally	38	40	17	0	0	4.22
4.	It is hoped that I will not leave the organization	35	41	18	1	0	4.15
5.	I have a sense of pride in the organization	49	33	13	0	0	4.37
6.	I have loyalty to the organization	45	31	15	4	0	4.23
Ave	erage		-	-			4.27

Source: Primary Data, 2023 (processed).



Based on the table, it can be seen that the average Organizational Commitment value is 4.27. The average value is 4.27 > 3.41, meaning that the respondent's perception of the question items related to Organizational Commitment is "Good".

6. Descriptive Leadership Variables

Table of Respondents' Perceptions of Leadership Variables

			Altern				
No	Statement	SS	S	K.S	T.S	STS	Average
1.	Leaders are able to analyze deeply Determine steps to achieve goals	26	61	8	0	0	4.18
2.	Leaders are able to provide "examples or role model of simplicity for employees so they are not too generous	28	56	11	0	0	4.17
3.	Leadership in setting goals must be rational and in assessing the wishes of his subordinates objective	31	52	12	0	0	4.20
4.	Leadership in preparing internal stepsThe process of achieving goals must be programmed, structured and conceptualized	30	55	10	0	0	4.21
5.	The leader wants it listen to subordinates to avoid being authoritarian	25	60	10	0	0	4.15
6.	Leaders have communication skills Good at conveying orders to employees	27	56	12	0	0	4.15
7.	Leadership adapts environment to be able to create a conducive work environmentin the division of tasks.	29	53	13	0	0	4.16
8.	Leadership in decision making must be firm without compromise	29	52	14	0	0	4.15
9.	Leaders have responsibilities to their subordinates	28	48	19	0	0	4.09
10.	Leaders have communication skills, namely the ability or ability to convey messages, ideas or thoughts to other people	28	52	15	0	0	4.13
Avera	ge Diameter and the second sec						4.16

Source: Primary Data, 2023 (processed).

Based on the table above, it can be seen that the average Leadership score is 4.16. The average value is 4.16 > 3.41, meaning that the respondent's perception of the question items related to Leadership is "Good"

7. Multiple Linear Regression Results

Table of Regression Analysis Results

	Model	В	Std. Error	t	Sig.
1	(Constant)	1,087	0.269	4,036	0,000
	Organizational culture	0.341	0.082	4,178	0,000

Cheptian Simamora¹, Sugih Arto Pujangkoro², Iskandarini³.

Organizational Commitment	0.141	0.067	2,107	0.038
Leadership	0.219	0.067	3,284	0.001

Source: Processed Primary Data, 2023

Thus, the results of statistical calculations can be written as a simultaneous multiple linear regression equation as follows:

$$Y = 1.087 + 0.341X1 + 0.141X2 + 0.219X3$$

From the results of the regression equation above, it is known that the results of the research are as follows:

- 1. The constant value is 1.087, meaning that if the Organizational Culture, Organizational Commitment and Leadership variables are zero or constant, then the Organizational Citizenship Behavior variable will have a positive value of 1.087.
- 2. The regression coefficient for the influence of Organizational Culture is 0.341, explaining that every increase in Organizational Culture will increase Organizational Citizenship Behavior by 0.341, meaning that there is a positive influence of the Organizational Culture variable on Organizational Citizenship Behavior. The regression coefficient value also shows that organizational culture has the largest coefficient value, which means that organizational culture has a dominant influence on OCB compared to other variables.
- 3. The regression coefficient for the influence of Organizational Commitment of 0.141 explains that every increase in Organizational Commitment will increase Organizational Citizenship Behavior by 0.141, meaning that there is a positive influence of the Organizational Commitment variable on Organizational Citizenship Behavior.
- 4. The regression coefficient for the influence of Leadership is 0.219, explaining that every increase in Leadership will increase Organizational Citizenship Behavior by 0.219, meaning that there is a positive influence of the Leadership variable on Organizational Citizenship Behavior.

5. Correlation and Determination Coefficient

Correlation and Determination Coefficient Table

Model	R	R Square	Adjusted R Square	Std. Error of the Estimate
1	.779a	,606	,593	.27312

Source: Processed Primary Data, 2023

The correlation coefficient R of 0.779 explains that the degree of relationship (correlation) between the variables Organizational Culture (X1), Organizational Commitment (X2) and Leadership (X3) with Organizational Citizenship Behavior (Y) is positive with a close relationship of 77.9%. This means that the three independent variables have a strong relationship with Organizational Citizenship Behavior (Y) with category values of 60% -80%. Meanwhile, the termination coefficient is a coefficient that explains the magnitude of the influence of one of the independent variables on the dependent variable assuming that the other variables are unknown. From the SPSS output results, the Adjusted R Square is 0.593. The Adjusted R Square value of this size explains that the role of the variables Organizational Culture (X1), Organizational Commitment (X2) and Leadership (X3) in influencing Organizational Citizenship Behavior (Y) is 0.593 or 59.3%. Meanwhile, the remainder (residual value) of the role of this variable is 0.407 or 40.7% influenced by other variables not involved in this research.



DISCUSSION

A. The Influence of Organizational Culture on Organizational Citizenship Behavior

From the results of comparing the regression coefficient values with the standard error, the calculated t value for the influence of the Organizational Culture variable is 4.178 with a significance probability value of 0.000. Meanwhile, the t-table value at df 91 and a significance level of 5% is 1.984. The results of this calculation show that the calculated t value is greater than the t-table value so that a decision can be taken that the alternative hypothesis (Ha) can be accepted and reject the null hypothesis (Ho), meaning that Organizational Culture (X1) partially has a significant effect on Organizational Citizenship Behavior (Y).

B. The Influence of Organizational Commitment on Organizational Citizenship Behavior

From the results of comparing the regression coefficient values with the standard error, the calculated t value for the influence of the Organizational Culture variable is 2.107 with a significance probability value of 0.038. Meanwhile, the t-table value at df 91 and a significance level of 5% is 1.984. The results of this calculation show that the calculated t value is greater than the t-table value so that a decision can be taken that the alternative hypothesis (Ha) can be accepted and reject the null hypothesis (Ho), meaning that Organizational Commitment (X2) partially has a significant effect on Organizational Citizenship Behavior (Y).

C. The Influence of Leadership on Organizational Citizenship Behavior

From the results of comparing the regression coefficient values with the standard error, the calculated t value for the influence of the Leadership variable is 3.284 with a significance probability value of 0.001. Meanwhile, the t-table value at df 95 and a significance level of 5% is 1.984. The results of this calculation show that the calculated t value is greater than the t-table value so that a decision can be taken that the alternative hypothesis (Ha) can be accepted and reject the null hypothesis (Ho), meaning that Leadership (X3) partially has a significant effect on Organizational Citizenship Behavior.

D. The Influence of Organizational Culture, Organizational Commitment and Leadership Style on Organizational Citizenship Behavior

The results of dividing the Mean Square regression by the residual Mean Square obtained a calculated F value of 46.705 with a significance probability value limit of 0.000. Meanwhile, the F table value at the 5% significance level and df 90 is 2.705. Thus the calculated F value (74.690) is greater than the F table (2.705) so that a decision can be taken, namely accepting the alternative hypothesis (Ha) and rejecting the Ho hypothesis, meaning Organizational Culture (X1), Organizational Commitment (X2) and Leadership (X3) together they influence Organizational Citizenship Behavior (Y).

1. Managerial Implications

Based on the research results, several implications were obtained as follows.

1. In the Organizational Citizenship Behavior variable, the respondent's perception was obtained with the lowest average value on the "courtesy" indicator, where employees are still lacking in maintaining good relationships with superiors and fellow co-workers. This can be a concern for company management to create a healthy organizational climate where fellow employees

Cheptian Simamora¹, Sugih Arto Pujangkoro², Iskandarini³.

can respect each other. This can start from the leadership where the leader can first set an example to his subordinates.

- 2. In the Organizational Culture variable, respondents' perceptions were obtained with the lowest average value on the "stability" indicator, where employees still lacked the desire to contribute further to advancing the company. This can be input for company management to increase employee work motivation and work engagement. This increase can be done by giving bonuses to employees who excel and involving employees in important decisions for the company.
- 3. In the Organizational Commitment variable, the respondent's perception was obtained with the lowest average value on the indicator "will not leave the organization" where employees still want to leave the organization. This can be input for company management to increase employee loyalty by providing wage increases for several employees who contribute more to the company's progress.
- 4. In the Leadership variable, the respondent's perception was obtained with the lowest average value on the "responsibility" indicator where the leader has less responsibility to his subordinates. This can be input for company management so that they can replace leaders who do not respect their subordinates.

4. CONCLUSION

Based on the discussion of the research results presented in the previous section, the following conclusions can be drawn:

- 1. Organizational culture partially influences Organizational Citizenship Behavior at PT Abad Jaya Abadi Sentosa, Lhokseumawe City.
- 2. Organizational Commitment partially influences Organizational Citizenship Behavior at PT Abad Jaya Abadi Sentosa, Lhokseumawe City.
- 3. Leadership partially influences Organizational Citizenship Behavior at PT Abad Jaya Abadi Sentosa, Lhokseumawe City.
- 4. Organizational Culture, Organizational Commitment and Leadership simultaneously influence Organizational Citizenship Behavior at PT Abad Jaya Abadi Sentosa, Lhokseumawe City.

Suggestion

Based on the research results, the suggestions that can be given are:

- 1) Organizational culture has a dominant influence on OCB. This can be a suggestion for further research to examine the influence of organizational culture in company operations.
- 2) To improve Organizational Citizenship Behavior, companies can create a conducive organizational culture because it is the factor that has the greatest influence on Organizational Citizenship Behavior.



REFERENCES

- Bakhshi, A. and Kumar, K. (2011). Organizational Commitment as a predictor of Organizational Citizenship Behavior. European Journal of Business and Management, 3(4), pp. 78–86.
- Chairy. (2011). The Influence of Entrepreneurial Characteristics, Ethnicity, Gender and Parent's Profession on Students' Entrepreneurial Intentions. Journal of Business Management, 1(2), pp. 245–259.
- Claudia, M. (2018). The Influence Of Perceived Organizational Support, Job Satisfaction And Organizational Commitment Toward Organizational Citizenship Behavior (A Study of the Permanent Lecturers at University of Lambung Mangkurat, Banjarmasin). Journal of Indonesian Economy and Business, 33(1), pp. 23–45.
- Daft, RL and Marcic, D. (2008) Understanding management. 10th edn. Kentucky: South Western Educational Publishing.
- Diatmika, INCY and Suwandana, IGM (2016). The Influence of Organizational Commitment and Work Motivation on Organizational Citizenship Behavior in Alam Puri. E-Journal of Management, 5(11), pp. 7128–7155.
- Elanain, H. A. (2007). Relationship between Personality and Organizational Citizenship Behavior: Does Personality Influence Employee Citizenship?. International Review of Business Research Papers, 3(4), pp. 31–43.
- Fachreza, Said Musnadi, M. Shabri Abd Majid. (2018). The Influence of Work Motivation, Work Environment and Organizational Culture on Employee Performance and the Impact on Bank Aceh Syariah Performance in Banda Aceh City. Junal Master of Management, Faculty of Economics and Business, Unsyiah. Vol. 2 No.1.
- Fahmi, I. (2017). Financial Report Analysis. Bandung: Alphabeta.
- Greenberg, J. and Baron, RA (2007) Behavior in Organizations. 9th edn. United States: Prentice Hall.
- Guay, R.P. et al. (2015) 'Why People Harm the Organization and Its Members: Relationships among Personality, Organizational Commitment, and Workplace Deviance', Human Performance, 29(1), pp. 1–36.
- Hasibuan, M. (2012). Human Resource Management. Jakarta: Bumi Aksara Publishers
- Huang, J., Wang, L. and Xie, J. (2014). Leader-Member Exchange and Organizational Citizenship Behavior: the Roles of Identification with Leaders and Leader's Reputation. Social Behavior and Personality An International Journal, 42(10), pp. 1699–1712.
- Ibunoluwa, OE, Anuoluwapo, AG and Agbude, GA (2015). Benefits of Organizational Citizenship Behaviors for Individual Employees', Covenant International Journal of Psychology (CIJP). 1(1), pp. 50–69.
- Kartini, I. (2017). The Influence of Leadership Style on Organizational Citizenship Behavior (OCB) at the LP3I Jakarta Polytechnic, North Jakarta Campus. Business Lantern Journal. 6(1)
- Luthans, F. (2012) Organization Behavior An Evidence-Based Approach, Organizational Behavior: An Evidence-Based Approach. Boston: McGraw-Hill.
- Margaretha, M. and Wicaksana, A. (2021). The Relationship between Person Organization Fit Toward Organizational Commitment and Organizational Citizenship Behavior: Experiences from Student Activity Organization Members. International Journal of Management Science and Business Administration, 6(3), pp. 43–49.
- Mathis, RL and Jackson, JH (2006) Human Resource Management: Human Resource Management. Translated by Dian Angelia. Jakarta: Salemba Empat. Jakarta: Salemba Empat.
- Mowday, R.T., Porter, L.W. and Steers, R.M. (2013). Employee—organization linkages: The psychology of commitment, absenteeism, and turnover. New York: Academic press.

Cheptian Simamora¹, Sugih Arto Pujangkoro², Iskandarini³.

- Novliadi, F. (2006). Organizational Citizenship Behavior is Judging from Perceptions of the Quality of Superior-Subordinate Interactions and Perceptions of Organizational Support. Psychology, 2(1), pp. 39–46.
- Nugraha, IPS and Adnyani, IGAD (2018). The Influence of Organizational Culture, Organizational Commitment, and Competence on Organizational Citizenship Behavior in Denpasar City. Unud Management E-Journal, 7(1), pp. 1–28.
- Nurcahyo, RJ (2016). The Influence of Leadership, Job Satisfaction and Organizational Commitment on Organizational Citizenship Behavior (OCB)
- Organ, DW (2015) Organizational Citizenship Behavior. Second. Amsterdam: Elsevier Ltd.
- Permadi (2009) Organizational Behavior. Yogyakarta: Gajah Mada University.
- Podsakoff, P. M., MacKenzie, S. B. and Podsakoff, N. P. (2012). Sources of Method Bias in Social Science Research and Recommendations on How to Control It. Annual Review, 63, pp. 539–569.
- Purba, ED and Seniati, ANL (2004). The influence of personality and organizational commitment on. Makara, Social Humanities, 8(3), pp. 105–111.
- Putri, SH (2020). The Influence of Work Discipline and Work Environment on Employee Performance. Journal of Human Capital Management and Business (Jmmib), 1(1), 26-39.
- Reyfaldi, M., Kurniawan, A., Dipoatmojo, T. (2023). The Influence of Organizational Culture on Organizational Citizenship Behavior at PT. Bujaya Karya Makmur. Pinisi Journal of Art, Humanity and Social Studies. 3(1), 30-39
- Rini, DP, Rusdarti and Suparjo. (2013). The Influence of Organizational Commitment, Job Satisfaction and Organizational Culture on Organizational Citizenship Behavior (OCB) (Study at PT. Plasa Simpanglima Semarang). Scientific Journal of Economic and Business Dynamics, 1(1), pp. 69–88.
- Robbins, SP and Judge, TA (2008) Organizational behavior, Indonesian edition. 12th Edition, Jakarta: PT. Gramedia Group Index. Edition 12. Edited by D. Angelica. Jakarta: Salemba Empat.
- Robbins, SP and Judge, TA (2017) Essentials of Organizational Behavior. 14th edn. New Jersey: Pearson.
- Setiani, T and Hidayati, A. (2020). The Influence of Leadership and Job Satisfaction on Organizational Citizenship Behavior (OCB) in Regional Drinking Water Companies. Economic Forum, 22(1), 123-129
- Soekidjan (2009) Human Resource Management. Jakarta: Bumi Literacy.
- Sudarmanto. (2014). Performance and Human Resource Competency Development Second Edition. Yogyakarta: Student Library
- Sugiyono. (2017). Business Research Methods. Bandung: Alphabeta.
- Supriyono, RA (2019) Risk Management. Yogyakarta: Gadjah Mada University Press.
- Sutrisno, E. (2017). Human Resource Management. Jakarta: Kencana.
- Titisari, P. (2014) The role of Organizational Citizenship Behavior (OCB) in improving employee performance. Jakarta: Mitra Discourse Media.
- Tisnawati Sule, EP, & Priansa, DJ (2018). Leadership & Organizational Behavior. In PR Aditama. Bandung: PT. Refika Aditama
- Wibowo (2015) Behavior in Organizations. 2nd ed. Medan: Raja Grafindo Persada.